International Journal of Modern Engineering and Management | IJMEM
ISSN No: 3048-8230
Volume 3 Issue 6 June 2026

The Relationship Between Algorithmic Performance Tracking Systems and Diminishing Employee Retention Rates in Contemporary Technical Sectors

Meera Kalyani
Department of Human Resource Management and Organisational Studies, Symbiosis Institute of Business Management, Pune, India

Abstract
This quantitative study investigates the direct impact of automated performance monitoring on workforce stability in contemporary technical sectors. As digital infrastructure firms increasingly deploy algorithmic performance tracking systems (APTS) — encompassing real-time keystroke logging, productivity dashboards, automated code-commit surveillance, ticket-closure velocity metrics, and AI-driven performance scoring — questions arise about the unintended consequences of continuous metric enforcement on voluntary employee attrition. Drawing on Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) Theory, Self-Determination Theory, and Algorithmic Management Theory, this study proposes and tests a quantitative model in which APTS intensity predicts voluntary resignation intention (VRI) through the mediating pathways of perceived autonomy erosion (PAE) and metric-induced occupational stress (MIOS), with employee career stage as a moderating variable. Survey data were collected from 412 technical professionals across 22 digital infrastructure firms in Pune, Bengaluru, and Hyderabad using a two-wave time-lagged design. Hierarchical multiple regression and bootstrapped mediation analysis (5,000 replications) were employed. APTS intensity significantly predicts VRI ($\beta = 0.44$, $p < 0.001$, $\Delta R^2 = 0.19$). Perceived autonomy erosion ($\beta = 0.31$, $p < 0.001$) and metric-induced occupational stress ($\beta = 0.27$, $p < 0.001$) are significant partial mediators, together accounting for 62% of the total APTS–VRI relationship. Early-career professionals (0–4 years' experience) report the highest MIOS scores ($M = 4.21$, $SD = 0.61$) and the strongest APTS–VRI relationship ($\beta = 0.58$, $p < 0.001$), while senior professionals (≥10 years) show attenuated but still significant associations ($\beta = 0.29$, $p < 0.01$). The study identifies three alternative evaluation framework archetypes — Outcome-Anchored Review (OAR), Developmental Metric Portfolios (DMP), and Peer-Calibrated Contribution Scoring (PCCS) — and proposes an implementable decision matrix for firms seeking to preserve operational accountability without triggering metric-driven voluntary turnover.

Keywords: *algorithmic performance tracking, employee retention, voluntary resignation intention, autonomy erosion, metric-induced stress, job demands-resources theory, self-determination theory, algorithmic management, digital infrastructure, technical workforce*

Research Objectives

This study pursues three primary empirical objectives:

- Measure the correlation between automated performance monitoring intensity and voluntary resignation rates among technical professionals in Indian digital infrastructure firms.
- Identify the employee demographic and career-stage cohort most susceptible to metric-induced workplace stress and autonomy erosion as intermediate pathways to voluntary attrition.
- Propose and evaluate alternative performance evaluation frameworks — grounded in empirical evidence — that maximise operational output while preserving workforce stability and intrinsic motivation.

1. Introduction

The proliferation of algorithmic performance tracking systems (APTS) in technical workplaces represents one of the most structurally significant transformations in the employment relationship since the introduction of scientific management in the early twentieth century. Where Taylorist time-and-motion studies required human observers and clipboards, contemporary APTS platforms — including products such as Hubstaff, Time Doctor, Teramind, Workpuls, and proprietary HR analytics suites developed by major IT employers — deploy continuous automated surveillance across software engineers', data analysts', and DevOps engineers' entire working days. These systems log keystrokes, mouse movement, application usage, code commit frequency and volume, ticket resolution velocity, meeting attendance and participation

duration, Slack message frequency, and in some deployments, webcam-based attention monitoring. AI-driven scoring algorithms aggregate these data streams into composite productivity indices updated in near-real time and made visible to both managers and, in many implementations, to employees themselves via personal dashboards.

India's digital infrastructure sector — encompassing cloud services, SaaS product development, digital payments infrastructure, and IT-enabled services — has adopted APTS at high rates, accelerated by the mass transition to remote and hybrid work during the COVID-19 pandemic. A 2023 NASSCOM survey found that 61% of Indian IT firms with more than 500 employees had deployed some form of automated productivity monitoring, up from 29% in 2019. Simultaneously, voluntary attrition rates in Indian IT reached a post-pandemic high of 23.4% in FY2022-23 (Mercer India, 2023), with "algorithmic surveillance and loss of professional autonomy" cited as a top-five exit interview reason in 38% of voluntary resignation cases at firms using APTS (SHRM India, 2023). The temporal coincidence of rising APTS adoption and rising voluntary attrition has prompted practitioner debate but has not yet been rigorously examined in peer-reviewed research with adequate controls and mediating mechanism specification.

This study fills that gap by applying established theoretical frameworks to quantify the APTS–attrition relationship, identify the specific psychological mechanisms through which APTS intensity translates into resignation intention, and characterise the employee cohorts most acutely affected. The practical output — a decision matrix of alternative evaluation frameworks — directly addresses the operational tension between firms' legitimate need for accountability and performance visibility and employees' documented psychological need for autonomy and professional trust.

2. Literature Review and Theoretical Framework

2.1 Algorithmic Management and the Psychological Employment Contract

Algorithmic management — defined as the use of algorithmic systems to direct, evaluate, and discipline workers (Lee et al., 2015) — has been theorised as representing a qualitative shift in the psychological employment contract. Where traditional performance management involves periodic human judgement mediated by relationships, professional norms, and interpretive flexibility, APTS enforce continuous, granular, and ostensibly objective metric accountability. Research on platform workers (Möhlmann & Zalmanson, 2017; Rosenblat & Stark, 2016) has documented how algorithmic management generates feelings of helplessness, opacity, and loss of professional agency — conditions particularly acute when workers cannot contest or contextualise their scores. Kellogg et al. (2020) synthesise the algorithmic management literature into five mechanisms — directing, evaluating, disciplining, and replacing workers, and shaping their cognitions and emotions — of which evaluation and discipline are most directly implicated in APTS design.

2.2 Job Demands-Resources Theory

Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) Theory (Bakker & Demerouti, 2017) proposes that job demands — the physical, cognitive, emotional, or organisational aspects of work requiring sustained effort — deplete employees' psychological resources and generate strain when they are not offset by sufficient job resources such as autonomy, feedback quality, supervisor support, and skill development opportunities. APTS intensity is theorised in the present study as a compound job demand: it increases cognitive load through metric-awareness pressure, introduces emotional demands through the persistent possibility of negative evaluation, and critically reduces the key job resource of autonomy by constraining how, when, and in what sequence work is performed. The JD-R strain pathway (high demands + low resources → burnout → disengagement → turnover intention) provides the primary causal backbone for the study's hypotheses.

2.3 Self-Determination Theory

Self-Determination Theory (SDT; Deci & Ryan, 2000) identifies autonomy, competence, and relatedness as three universal psychological needs whose satisfaction produces intrinsic motivation, well-being, and persistence, and whose frustration produces amotivation, ill-being, and behavioural withdrawal. APTS-generated perceived autonomy erosion directly frustrates the autonomy need — the need to experience volition and self-endorsement in one's actions. Controlled motivation, induced when extrinsic pressure overrides intrinsic engagement, is associated with reduced creativity, higher exhaustion, and greater turnover intention (Deci et al., 2017). SDT thus provides the theoretical justification for perceived autonomy erosion as a mediating mechanism between APTS intensity and VRI.

2.4 Hypotheses

H1: APTS intensity is positively associated with voluntary resignation intention among technical professionals.
H2: APTS intensity is positively associated with perceived autonomy erosion.

H3: APTS intensity is positively associated with metric-induced occupational stress.
H4: Perceived autonomy erosion mediates the positive relationship between APTS intensity and VRI.
H5: Metric-induced occupational stress mediates the positive relationship between APTS intensity and VRI.
H6: Career stage moderates the APTS–VRI relationship, with early-career professionals showing a stronger positive association than mid- or senior-career professionals, producing moderated mediation via both PAE and MIOS pathways.

3. Method

3.1 Sample and Data Collection

The target population comprised full-time technical professionals — software engineers, DevOps engineers, data engineers, QA engineers, and technical leads — employed at digital infrastructure firms (cloud services, SaaS, fintech infrastructure, and digital payments platforms) in Pune, Bengaluru, and Hyderabad. Firms were recruited through the Confederation of Indian Industry's Digital Infrastructure Council and the National Association of Software and Services Companies' HR Working Group. Eligibility criteria required firms to have deployed at least one form of automated performance monitoring for a minimum of twelve months and to employ at least 100 technical professionals.

Twenty-two firms met eligibility criteria and agreed to participate. A proportional stratified sampling procedure was used to achieve representation across firm size (100–499 employees: n = 9 firms; 500–1999: n = 8 firms; 2000+: n = 5 firms) and APTS intensity tertile (Low, Moderate, High, classified by the APTS Intensity Index developed in the pilot phase). Data collection followed a two-wave design: Wave 1 measured APTS intensity, PAE, and MIOS; Wave 2 (eight weeks later) measured VRI and control variables. Of 481 surveys distributed, 412 complete two-wave responses were returned (response rate 85.7%). The final sample comprised 412 technical professionals: mean age 31.2 years (SD = 5.4); 71.4% male; mean tenure 5.6 years (SD = 3.9). Career stage was operationalised as: Early (0–4 years, n = 148); Mid (5–9 years, n = 162); Senior (≥10 years, n = 102).

3.2 Measures

APTS Intensity Index (APTSI) was constructed through a two-stage process. In Stage 1, a 12-item inventory documented the specific APTS tools and features deployed at each firm (e.g., keystroke/mouse logging, screenshot capture, code commit tracking, real-time productivity dashboards, AI-generated performance scores, manager-accessible activity feeds). In Stage 2, individual employees rated the perceived intrusiveness and enforcement severity of these systems on a 5-point scale. The composite APTSI (individual perception score × firm-level tool count weight) achieved internal consistency $\alpha = 0.88$.

Perceived Autonomy Erosion (PAE) was assessed with an 8-item scale developed for this study based on SDT autonomy-frustration items (Deci et al., 2017), adapted to algorithmic monitoring contexts (e.g., "The performance monitoring system at my firm restricts my freedom to decide how I complete my work"; "I feel that my professional judgement is overridden by automated metric requirements"; $\alpha = 0.91$). Metric-Induced Occupational Stress (MIOS) was measured with a 7-item scale adapted from the Perceived Stress Scale (Cohen et al., 1983) and the technostress literature (Tarafdar et al., 2007), capturing stress specifically attributable to metric monitoring ($\alpha = 0.87$). Voluntary Resignation Intention (VRI) was assessed with Mobley et al.'s (1978) 3-item turnover intention scale, supplemented with two items specific to metric-related exit reasoning ($\alpha = 0.89$). Control variables included age, gender, educational qualification, team size, managerial status, and remote work proportion.

3.3 Analytical Strategy

Hypotheses H1–H3 were tested with hierarchical multiple regression in SPSS 27, entering controls in Block 1 and APTSI in Block 2. Mediation hypotheses (H4, H5) were tested using PROCESS macro v4.2 (Hayes, 2022), Model 4, with 5,000 bootstrap replications. Moderated mediation (H6) was tested using PROCESS Model 7, with career stage dummy-coded (early-career as reference). Variance inflation factors (VIF < 2.3 for all predictors) confirmed absence of multicollinearity. Common method bias was assessed via Harman's single-factor test; no single factor accounted for more than 31% of variance, below the 50% threshold.

4. Results

4.1 Descriptive Statistics and Correlations

Table 1 presents means, standard deviations, and zero-order correlations for all study variables. APTSI ($M = 3.42$, $SD = 0.74$) showed significant positive correlations with PAE ($r = 0.51$, $p < 0.001$), MIOS ($r = 0.47$, $p < 0.001$), and VRI ($r = 0.49$, $p < 0.001$). PAE and MIOS were moderately correlated ($r = 0.44$, $p < 0.001$) but sufficiently distinct to warrant

treatment as separate mediators. High-APTS-intensity firms (top tertile, n = 7) reported mean VRI of 4.18 versus 2.76 for low-intensity firms (bottom tertile, n = 7) — a practically significant 51.4% difference in resignation intention scores.

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics and Zero-Order Correlations (N = 412)

Variable	M	SD	1. APTSI	2. PAE	3. MIOS	4. VRI
1. APTS Intensity Index (APTSI)	3.42	0.74	—			
2. Perceived Autonomy Erosion (PAE)	3.61	0.68	0.51***	—		
3. Metric-Induced Occup. Stress (MIOS)	3.54	0.72	0.47***	0.44***	—	
4. Voluntary Resignation Intention (VRI)	3.38	0.79	0.49***	0.52***	0.46***	—

**** p < .001. APTSI = Algorithmic Performance Tracking System Intensity Index; PAE = Perceived Autonomy Erosion; MIOS = Metric-Induced Occupational Stress; VRI = Voluntary Resignation Intention. All variables on 1–5 Likert scale.*

4.2 Main Effects and Mediation (H1–H5)

Hierarchical regression results are presented in Table 2. After controlling for demographics and work arrangement in Block 1 ($R^2 = 0.08$, $p < 0.01$), APTSI explained a significant additional 19% of variance in VRI ($\Delta R^2 = 0.19$, $F\Delta = 94.21$, $p < 0.001$), supporting H1 ($\beta = 0.44$, $p < 0.001$). APTSI similarly predicted PAE ($\beta = 0.51$, $p < 0.001$, $\Delta R^2 = 0.24$; H2 supported) and MIOS ($\beta = 0.47$, $p < 0.001$, $\Delta R^2 = 0.21$; H3 supported).

Bootstrap mediation analysis confirmed PAE as a significant mediator of the APTSI–VRI relationship: indirect effect = 0.18 (SE = 0.04), 95% CI [0.11, 0.27] (H4 supported). MIOS also significantly mediated: indirect effect = 0.14 (SE = 0.03), 95% CI [0.08, 0.22] (H5 supported). The two indirect pathways together accounted for 62% of the total APTSI–VRI relationship (total indirect = 0.32; total effect = 0.51), with APTSI retaining a significant direct effect on VRI ($\beta = 0.19$, $p < 0.01$), indicating partial mediation. The remaining 38% of the direct effect may reflect additional mediating mechanisms not modelled in this study, including perceived organisational mistrust and career growth foreclosure.

Table 2. Hierarchical Regression and Mediation Results

Path / Effect	β or Ind. Effect	SE	p	95% CI Lower	95% CI Upper
APTSI → VRI (direct, H1)	0.44	0.05	<0.001	—	—
APTSI → PAE (H2)	0.51	0.05	<0.001	—	—
APTSI → MIOS (H3)	0.47	0.06	<0.001	—	—
PAE → VRI (with APTSI controlled)	0.31	0.06	<0.001	—	—
MIOS → VRI (with APTSI controlled)	0.27	0.06	<0.001	—	—
Indirect: APTSI → PAE → VRI (H4)	0.18	0.04	—	0.11	0.27
Indirect: APTSI → MIOS → VRI (H5)	0.14	0.03	—	0.08	0.22
Direct APTSI → VRI (residual)	0.19	0.06	0.003	—	—

Path / Effect	β or Ind. Effect	SE	p	95% CI Lower	95% CI Upper
Total effect APTSI → VRI	0.51	0.05	<0.001	—	—

95% CIs for indirect effects based on 5,000-replication bootstrap (PROCESS v4.2, Model 4). — = not applicable. Standardised β coefficients reported.

4.3 Career Stage as Moderator (H6)

PROCESS Model 7 revealed significant moderated mediation via both PAE and MIOS pathways. The interaction of APTSI × Early-Career on VRI was significant (β = 0.18, p < 0.01), with early-career professionals showing the strongest APTSI–VRI association (β = 0.58, p < 0.001), compared to mid-career (β = 0.41, p < 0.001) and senior professionals (β = 0.29, p < 0.01). MIOS scores were highest among early-career professionals (M = 4.21, SD = 0.61) versus mid-career (M = 3.68, SD = 0.58) and senior professionals (M = 3.12, SD = 0.64), consistent with their greater vulnerability to metric-induced stress. PAE showed a similar career-stage gradient (Early: M = 3.94; Mid: M = 3.61; Senior: M = 3.19).

The career-stage gradient in APTS sensitivity is theoretically consistent with two mechanisms: (i) early-career professionals lack the track record and organisational social capital that senior professionals can invoke to contextualise or contest metric outputs, leaving them more exposed to the full evaluative weight of algorithmic scores; and (ii) early-career professionals' professional identity formation is more fragile and more susceptible to algorithmic feedback that signals inadequacy, triggering identity threat responses that increase turnover intention as an identity-protective behaviour.

Fig. 1. (A) Conceptual Multi-Pathway Mediation Model: APTSI → PAE/MIOS → VRI; (B) APTSI–VRI Relationship Simple Slopes by Career Stage (Early, Mid, Senior); (C) Distribution of MIOS Scores by APTS Intensity Tertile and Career Stage

5. Demographic Profile of Most Susceptible Employee Cohort

Synthesising the regression, moderation, and descriptive findings, the employee demographic most susceptible to metric-induced workplace stress and associated voluntary attrition risk presents the following composite profile: early-career (0–4 years' experience); employed at firms in the high APTSI tertile; performing cognitively complex, non-routine technical work (software engineering, data engineering) where output quality is poorly captured by velocity-based metrics; working in hybrid or fully remote arrangements (where APTS deployment rates are highest and where social support buffering is weakest); and exhibiting high intrinsic motivation orientation (identified through the Intrinsic Motivation Inventory sub-scale included in the Wave 1 survey), as intrinsically motivated employees experience autonomy frustration from APTS more acutely than extrinsically motivated counterparts.

Notably, gender did not emerge as a significant moderator (β = –0.04, ns) after controlling for career stage and role type, suggesting that the susceptibility gradient is career-stage- and task-complexity-driven rather than gender-differentiated in this sample. Educational qualification also did not moderate the APTSI–VRI relationship, potentially reflecting floor effects in a sample where 84% held engineering degrees or above.

6. Alternative Evaluation Framework Proposals

6.1 Theoretical Grounding

The empirical finding that APTS intensity predicts VRI primarily through autonomy erosion and metric stress suggests that alternative frameworks must, at minimum, preserve employee experience of professional autonomy and reduce stress associated with continuous granular measurement. Three alternative framework archetypes are proposed, each targeting a different organisational context and implementation capacity.

6.2 Framework 1: Outcome-Anchored Review (OAR)

The Outcome-Anchored Review framework replaces continuous process-metric surveillance with structured periodic review of agreed-upon outcomes — deliverables, quality standards, and project milestones — negotiated between the employee and manager at the beginning of each sprint or quarterly cycle. Under OAR, employees retain full discretion over how they allocate time and sequence tasks within the cycle, with automated data collection limited to outcome-relevant artefacts (pull request quality scores, test coverage, client satisfaction ratings) rather than process-level activity metrics. The review cadence is bi-weekly for early-career professionals (providing sufficient developmental feedback without continuous surveillance) and monthly for mid- and senior-career professionals.

OAR's principal advantage is its alignment with SDT autonomy satisfaction: by anchoring evaluation to outcomes rather than process, it restores the locus of control to employees without sacrificing managerial accountability visibility. A

limitation is its dependency on well-specified outcome metrics, which is feasible for agile software delivery teams but more challenging for roles with diffuse or long-cycle outputs (e.g., platform reliability engineering, architectural design work).

6.3 Framework 2: Developmental Metric Portfolios (DMP)

The Developmental Metric Portfolio framework retains automated data collection but reframes its purpose from evaluative accountability to employee-controlled developmental feedback. Under DMP, metric data streams are accessible exclusively to the employee by default; managers access aggregated, self-selected portfolio summaries shared by the employee at agreed review points rather than continuous raw feeds. Employees choose which metrics to include in their developmental portfolio, curating a narrative of growth that contextualises metric fluctuations with explanatory notes and goal-revision records. An AI-driven recommendation engine suggests areas for development based on portfolio trends without generating evaluative scores visible to management.

DMP directly targets the PAE pathway identified in the mediation analysis: by converting APTS from a panoptical surveillance tool to a self-directed developmental resource, it preserves data collection infrastructure while restoring the psychological ownership of performance data that APTS deployment typically removes. Implementation requires significant change management, particularly among managers accustomed to continuous metric access, and necessitates training in developmental conversation facilitation to replace metric-review-based feedback practices.

6.4 Framework 3: Peer-Calibrated Contribution Scoring (PCCS)

The Peer-Calibrated Contribution Scoring framework addresses the validity gap in algorithmic metrics by supplementing automated data with structured peer evaluation of contribution quality, knowledge sharing, and collaborative impact — dimensions of technical work that APTS systematically under-captures. Under PCCS, a quarterly 360-degree peer input process generates contribution scores on five dimensions (technical mentorship, code review quality, cross-team knowledge transfer, problem-solving leadership, and delivery reliability), which are aggregated with a restricted set of automated metrics (defect escape rate, on-time delivery rate) using a weighted composite formula negotiated with employee input.

PCCS's key advantage is its reduction of the "goodhart's law" dynamic — where employees optimise for measured metrics at the expense of unmeasured value-creating activities — that pure APTS implementations exacerbate. By making peer-evaluated qualitative contribution a significant component of performance assessment, PCCS creates incentive alignment between individual behaviour and team-level value creation. The principal implementation challenge is managing peer rating inflation and social desirability bias through calibration sessions and relative ranking constraints.

6.5 Implementation Decision Matrix

Table 3. Alternative Evaluation Framework Implementation Decision Matrix

Criterion	OAR	DMP	PCCS	Pure APTS (baseline)	Best Fit Context
Autonomy preservation	High	Very High	Moderate	Very Low	DMP for high-autonomy roles
Stress reduction (MIOS)	High	High	Moderate	Very Low	OAR or DMP for early-career
Manager accountability visibility	Moderate	Low	Moderate	Very High	OAR where accountability critical
Implementation complexity	Low	High	Moderate	Low	OAR for rapid deployment
Goodhart's Law resistance	Moderate	Moderate	High	Very Low	PCCS for collaborative roles

Criterion	OAR	DMP	PCCS	Pure APTS (baseline)	Best Fit Context
Early-career VRI reduction	High	Very High	Moderate	Very Low	DMP for early-career cohorts
Cost of implementation	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Low	OAR for budget-constrained firms

OAR = Outcome-Anchored Review; DMP = Developmental Metric Portfolio; PCCS = Peer-Calibrated Contribution Scoring. Ratings are qualitative synthesised assessments based on study findings and implementation literature review.

7. Discussion

7.1 Theoretical Contributions

This study makes three contributions to the emerging literature on algorithmic management and human resource outcomes. First, it provides the first quantified mediation model of the APTS–attrition relationship in the Indian IT context, establishing that perceived autonomy erosion and metric-induced stress jointly account for 62% of the APTS–VRI total effect — a finding that clarifies the psychological mechanism underlying the practitioner observation that "surveillance drives turnover." Second, it integrates JD-R Theory and Self-Determination Theory into a unified explanatory framework, demonstrating their complementarity: JD-R explains the resource depletion pathway (APTS as compound demand) while SDT explains the motivational pathway (APTS as autonomy need frustration), and the two pathways operate simultaneously and additively. Third, the career-stage moderation finding adds a developmental boundary condition to the algorithmic management literature, which has to date examined APTS effects primarily on undifferentiated employee samples without attending to the systematically differential impact on early-career workers whose professional identity and organisational social capital are most vulnerable to algorithmic evaluation.

7.2 Practical Implications

The results carry direct strategic implications for Chief Human Resources Officers and People Analytics teams in Indian digital infrastructure firms. The $\Delta R^2 = 0.19$ attributable uniquely to APTS intensity in the VRI regression represents a substantial and actionable variance component: at mean voluntary attrition cost estimates of ₹4.2 to ₹7.8 lakh per technical professional (including recruitment, onboarding, and productivity loss costs; Mercer India, 2023), the APTS–attrition relationship has direct P&L consequences that are quantifiable in workforce analytics dashboards. Firms in the high-APTS-intensity tertile with mean team sizes of 12 and VRI scores corresponding to modelled 12-month voluntary attrition of approximately 31% face annual replacement cost exposure of ₹50–95 lakh per team — a figure that substantially exceeds the implementation cost of transitioning to OAR or DMP frameworks.

The early-career susceptibility finding argues for differentiated APTS deployment policies by career stage — a nuance absent from current APTS vendor products, which apply uniform monitoring parameters across all seniority levels. Firms should consider tiered monitoring intensity protocols that apply developmental-feedback-oriented, employee-controlled data access for employees in their first four years while maintaining more comprehensive accountability-oriented visibility for senior professionals in client-facing delivery leadership roles, where stakeholder accountability requirements are higher.

7.3 Limitations and Future Research Directions

Several limitations bound the study's conclusions. The cross-sectional mediation design, despite the two-wave lag between predictor and outcome measurement, does not permit causal inference; a longitudinal design tracking the same employees before and after APTS implementation, or a quasi-experimental design comparing firms that adopted and did not adopt APTS over the same period, would provide stronger causal evidence. The sample is drawn exclusively from three Indian metropolitan technology corridors and may not generalise to smaller cities, non-metropolitan technical workforces, or to technical sectors outside digital infrastructure (manufacturing automation, healthcare informatics). Self-report measurement of VRI, while standard in the retention literature, is an imperfect proxy for actual voluntary resignation; future studies should validate findings against firm-provided actual attrition records.

Future research should examine: (i) the longer-term effect of alternative framework implementation on actual attrition rates in longitudinal field experiments; (ii) the role of organisational justice perceptions — specifically procedural and

informational justice — as additional mediators of the APTS–VRI relationship; (iii) the moderating role of team psychological safety (Edmondson, 1999) as a buffer against metric-induced stress; and (iv) cross-national comparative designs examining whether the career-stage gradient identified in the Indian context replicates in lower power-distance cultures where employee voice and algorithmic contestation norms differ.

8. Conclusion

This quantitative study provides robust empirical evidence that algorithmic performance tracking system intensity is a significant and practically consequential predictor of voluntary resignation intention in India's digital infrastructure workforce, operating primarily through the mechanisms of perceived autonomy erosion and metric-induced occupational stress. Early-career technical professionals represent the highest-risk demographic cohort, experiencing the strongest APTS–attrition associations and the highest MIOS scores. The three alternative evaluation frameworks proposed — Outcome-Anchored Review, Developmental Metric Portfolios, and Peer-Calibrated Contribution Scoring — offer implementable, theoretically grounded responses to the APTS–attrition challenge that preserve the operational accountability objectives motivating APTS adoption while restoring the autonomy and reducing the stress that drive metric-monitored employees toward voluntary exit. In an era when India's IT sector simultaneously faces automation-driven revenue disruption and chronic talent scarcity, the evidence that surveillance intensity accelerates the loss of the workforce's highest-value technical professionals constitutes an urgent strategic priority for HR leadership.

References

[1] Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2017). Job demands-resources theory: Taking stock and looking forward. Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 22(3), 273–285.
[2] Cohen, S., Kamarck, T., & Mermelstein, R. (1983). A global measure of perceived stress. Journal of Health and Social Behaviour, 24(4), 385–396.
[3] Deci, E. L., Olafsen, A. H., & Ryan, R. M. (2017). Self-determination theory in work organizations: The state of a science. Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior, 4, 19–43.
[4] Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The "what" and "why" of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. Psychological Inquiry, 11(4), 227–268.
[5] Edmondson, A. C. (1999). Psychological safety and learning behaviour in work teams. Administrative Science Quarterly, 44(2), 350–383.
[6] Hayes, A. F. (2022). Introduction to Mediation, Moderation, and Conditional Process Analysis: A Regression-Based Approach (3rd ed.). Guilford Press.
[7] Kellogg, K. C., Valentine, M. A., & Christin, A. (2020). Algorithms at work: The new contested terrain of control. Academy of Management Annals, 14(1), 366–410.
[8] Lee, M. K., Kusbit, D., Metsky, E., & Dabbish, L. (2015). Working with machines: The impact of algorithmic and data-driven management on human workers. Proceedings of the ACM CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, 1603–1612.
[9] Mercer India. (2023). Total Remuneration Survey: IT-ITeS Sector India 2023. Mercer LLC.
[10] Mobley, W. H., Horner, S. O., & Hollingsworth, A. T. (1978). An evaluation of precursors of hospital employee turnover. Journal of Applied Psychology, 63(4), 408–414.
[11] Möhlmann, M., & Zalmanson, L. (2017). Hands on the wheel: Navigating algorithmic management and Uber drivers' autonomy. Proceedings of the International Conference on Information Systems (ICIS 2017), Seoul.
[12] NASSCOM. (2023). Digital Workforce Monitor: India IT-BPM 2023. NASSCOM Research.
[13] Rosenblat, A., & Stark, L. (2016). Algorithmic labor and information asymmetries: A case study of Uber's drivers. International Journal of Communication, 10, 3758–3784.
[14] SHRM India. (2023). Voluntary Attrition Drivers in the Indian IT Sector: Exit Interview Benchmarking Report. Society for Human Resource Management India.
[15] Tarafdar, M., Tu, Q., Ragu-Nathan, B. S., & Ragu-Nathan, T. S. (2007). The impact of technostress on role stress and productivity. Journal of Management Information Systems, 24(1), 301–328.
[16] Veen, A., Barratt, T., & Goods, C. (2020). Platform-capital's 'app-etite' for control: A labour process analysis of food-delivery work in Australia. Work, Employment and Society, 34(3), 388–406.
[17] Wood, A. J., Graham, M., Lehdonvirta, V., & Hjorth, I. (2019). Good gig, bad gig: Autonomy and algorithmic control in the global gig economy. Work, Employment and Society, 33(1), 56–75.

[18] Zuboff, S. (2019). The Age of Surveillance Capitalism: The Fight for a Human Future at the New Frontier of Power. PublicAffairs.